Unknown

From: Coleman, Donna/ANV [Donna.Coleman@veco.com]

Sent: Thursday, November 29, 2007 11:50 AM

To: Huggins; Charlie (LAA)

Cc: Bruce Chambers; Tom & Janet Boling; Fuglestads; Bobbi Riley; James Riley; Von Scheben; Leo (DOT); Stroman; Ronald A (DOT); Neuman; Mark A (LAA); lindalu@alaska.net; Palin; Sarah H (GOV)

Subject: Willow Lake Issues

Senator Huggins, as you are aware the homeowners on Willow Lake have been meeting with Ron Stroman, Chief Aviation Leasing for the DOT regarding Willow Lake; seaplane operation issues, the banning of jet skis, dock fees and some other issues. It is unfortunate that you were not able to attend our meeting in October as it was lively and both sides left with different conclusions. Ron has repeatedly stated that he is "pro aviation" and because of that I feel he is not capable of being unbiased which has cause for concern by myself and other landowners.

Ron was to meet with one of our home owner representatives Cheryl Fuglestad, as well as a representative of Willow Air to come up with a Lake Use Plan which has now been named "Aviation Safety Plan 3 final draft". Due to timing issues this meeting has been postponed until after the holiday's but Ron gave us a draft to review. It was agreed that we would try to compromise, both, the sea plane operations and the home owners. Agree to a plan we could both live with. The only reference of compromise I see is the addition of buoys for lanes, and the rest are lake restrictions for the lake users. It has become obvious that this is not going to work and urge your help and cooperation in resolving some issues before we all incur legal costs.

Willow Lake has been a multi use lake for over 40 years with no recorded or reported accidents to date. I find it curious as to why all of a sudden the State feels that lake restrictions are to be made. It still is unclear as to how this lake became a sea plane lake as in a 2003 Study it clearly stated that the lake was unfit to be one for numerous reasons. But Ron explained that the State has the ability to make minor changes to the study, and made it one anyway without any notification to the landowners.

If there truly are issues I would assume they would be environmental in nature. The noise study reflected that there is a valid concern and suggests that the planes make adjustments to their take off pattern to accommodate this. There is a small island where sea birds nest and I feel because of the planes getting so close to their nests during take off the numbers have reduced. There was increased plane activity on the lake this summer somewhat due to the purchasing of aviation fuel. This truly concerns me because of the possibility of lake contamination. I would like to know if there is an environmental fuel spillage response plan in effect, and a kit readily available in case of spillages for this commercial operation; and if the state is monitoring it.

I purchased my property on the lake this past year to build my retirement home. When I purchased this a title search was completed, and nowhere did it state that this lake was a sea plane lake and managed by DOT vs. DNR and any restrictions against this property. He states it was my responsibility to do the research, how would I know.

In April I had a well drilled for $8000. I paid premium dollar on the property because of it being on the lake and now I am afraid to put much of a building on it until this matter is

resolved. Thus said, costing me more dollars with the possibility of rising costs of building materials. If the lake access is restricted I feel that my property value will surely plummet as will my neighbors and I will never be able to recoup my costs.

I know that Linda Holzman has been in contact with you recently regarding this issue and bringing other matters to light. This seems to be an un-resolvable issue in its present state because of personalities and Ron's commitment to the aviation.

I thank you in advance for your prompt attention and resolution to this matter.

Respectfully.

Donna Coleman
Willow Lake Property Owner
907 351 1546

Unknown

From: Frye, Ivy J (DOA) [/O=SOA/OU=FIRST ADMINISTRATIVE GROUP/CN=RECIPIENTS/CN=IJFRYE]
Sent: Thursday, November 29, 2007 7:41 PM
To: 'gov.sarah@yahoo.com'
Subject: Re: New house!

Seriously, thank you, thank you, thank you. You've made my year. I haven't been this excited since election night and you became gov!

----- Original Message -----

From: Frye, Ivy J (DOA)

To: 'gov.sarah@yahoo.com' <gov.sarah@yahoo.com>

Sent: Thu Nov 29 19:01:25 2007

Subject: Re: New house!

Sarah (governor), I can't tell you how much I appreciate your nice email. Thank you so much! I can't wait to show you guys...

----- Original Message -----

From: gov.sarah@yahoo.com <gov.sarah@yahoo.com>

To: Frye, Ivy J (DOA)

Sent: Thu Nov 29 17:53:43 2007

Subject: Re: New house!

Of course I'll talk to him! Tell me how...

Sent from my BlackBerry® device from Cellular One

-----Original Message-----

From: "Frye, Ivy J (DOA)" <ivy.frye@alaska.gov>

Date: Thu, 29 Nov 2007 17:50:20

To:gov.sarah@yahoo.com

Subject: New house!

Governor,

I just found the awesomest house right on the river in the valley. The guy said he would rent it to me, but I'm hesitant to give him my current landlords contact info b/c we don't particularly get along. Would you mind vouching for me? Trust me, the girls are going to love this place--you too, if you ever want to get off the beaten path.

Unknown

From: PARNELL, S (GOV sponsored) [/O=SOA/OU=FIRST ADMINISTRATIVE GROUP/CN=RECIPIENTS/CN=SRPARNELL1]
Sent: Thursday, November 29, 2007 4:01 PM
To: 'gov.sarah@yahoo.com'
Cc: Tibbles; Michael A (GOV)
Subject: Fw: Arctic Power / ANWR

Fyi--info from Mike Navarre on why he believes ANWR-Arctic Power funding needs to be in budget.

----- Original Message -----

From: Mike Navarre <MikeN@zaninc.net>

To: PARNELL, S (GOV sponsored)

Sent: Thu Nov 29 15:14:46 2007

Subject: Arctic Power / ANWR

Good morning Sean,

I enjoyed talking with you and catching up a few days ago. Sounds like you have been busy lately, which is to be expected. I hope you're enjoying the job and responsibilities.

Thanks for taking the time to discuss the ANWR issue and the role Arctic Power can and does play in working to advocate for responsible development of ANWR. I have been a member of Arctic Power since it was formed and have been advocating for ANWR development since the late 1980's. I will admit that I am somewhat of a zealot on the issue.

My initial goal is to maintain a presence in the Governor's budget as we continue to develop the role Arctic Power will play over the next several years. Quite honestly, I hope that we can convince you and Governor Palin that additional investment is well justified given the potential for long term revenues from ANWR, the obstacles and challenges that are likely to come into play over the next several years, and the risk of having ANWR's coastal plain designated wilderness, which would put it off limits for development, likely forever, but certainly for the foreseeable future.

I have attached the letter I drafted last year when we were successful in getting $120,000 appropriated. I think all of the arguments still apply. Here are some of the reasons why I think funding for Arctic Power should be continued and increased for the next several years:

1. National Elections and what is expected to be a relatively big turnover in Congress. All of the new members and their staff will need to be educated on the ANWR issue, as well as new staff of returning members. Our efforts coordinate with other interest groups who are supportive of the issue but we are the only group that really has the ANWR issue as a single focus. I know that the Governor's office will be doing office visits and education on Alaskan issues but their focus will and should be much broader. Arctic Power has significant name ID associated with the ANWR issue. The effort is time consuming and updated educational materials will be necessary. You are aware of the materials generated by Arctic Power in the past and I have asked our very limited staff to put together some packets of that information for the Administration and to help with our efforts with the legislature on the funding issue. Arctic Power still has a presence in D.C. and are contacted by and contact various media on a regular basis. It has of course been somewhat limited because we only have funding for a one person office in D.C. and he is stretched very, very thin. Fortunately, he is also an avid supporter of the issue and is doing the best he can to manage.

2. Helping to coordinate the lobbying effort and educational process. We have built up considerable contacts and relationships over the years but we need to have enough of a presence so that we do not lose credibility. Our coalition partners and Hill staff have in the past have looked to us for leadership and have used our office as a main source of good, quality information on the ANWR issue. A one person office does not foster a great deal of seriousness or respect. It will be particularly important over the next several years.

3. Protecting Alaska and Alaskan's Investment. Arctic Power has been a significant and very credible force in the ANWR debate for many years. While we have maintained memberships in the organization most of our funding has been through the State of Alaska. The investment has established a credible "brand name" organization that is far more recognized in D.C. than in Alaska. That is due to the fact that most of our efforts have been outside of Alaska as Alaskan's have consistently support ANWR development and that is where the action was taking place. We have coordinated with the Governor's office, our Congressional Delegation and their staffs, and various other interest groups over the last almost 20 years. There are many, many individual Alaskan's from all across Alaska who have volunteered their time, energy and money toward the effort.

4. Maintaining an independent Arctic Power. The State of Alaska stands to be the biggest winner, or loser, on the ANWR issue and I know this Administration will continue to have a focus on it. I believe, however, that it makes a great deal of sense from a strategic logistical standpoint to have a well established and credible independent entity also focusing almost entirely on the issue. Scheduling and coordinating visits to Hill offices for visiting Alaskan's on the ANWR issue is not something that I expect the Governor's D.C. office will or should be doing. Arctic Power can also attend coalition meetings and gather intelligence on the ANWR issue and in general that can, and will, be passed on to the Governor's office. Arctic Power has established excellent contacts with various media, interest groups, labor unions and individuals, in D.C. and around the country, and cannot maintain that effort and be a credible source on a shoestring budget.

Here's what I think is necessary for the next several years and ask you to give it serious consideration. I have recently agreed to be one of the co-chairs of Arctic Power and pledge to work the legislature on the issue so that there is support for the funding. I will also, of course, also work closely with the Administration.

We need a minimum 2 person office in D.C. and the ability to contract with a professional advisory lobbyist, in D.C. on a part time basis. That will enable Arctic Power to have a much better impact in dealing with Hill offices and VIP meetings with coalition partner leaders; and, coordinate and conduct an educational effort with the new Congress after the 2008 elections. Arctic Power has always used a part time lobbyist to conduct some of it's business in D.C. and is, I think, a necessity to the effort. It is also important from a strategic standpoint because it is a great source of intelligence that can supplement the efforts of our Congressional Delegation and the Governor's D.C. office. We also may need the ability to "ramp up" as necessary during critical times but I think that can likely be handled through the Governor's Office budget where warranted.

I think we could accomplish our mission and objectives with an annual appropriation of $250,000 over the next 2 years. I think Arctic Power could manage to match part of the funding with a total of $60-100,000 per year. Those funds are currently used to maintain our office in Alaska and that presence is also needed for grant administration and compliance, coordinating Arctic Power Board and Executive Committee efforts (including D.C. visits), limited fundraising efforts (memberships, etc.) and general administration and budget maintenance. Our offices are located in donated space so there is significant in kind funding in addition to the amount I mentioned above. I recognize that $250,000 annually is a significant amount but I think it is a good investment. Again, I will help work the legislature on the funding issue.

I think the most important thing is to get some funds placed in the Governor's budget. As you know, that makes it much easier during the budget process. The amount can be increased or decreased as necessary during the budget process and the Governor has the

final say in any event.

From a political standpoint it provides insulation from unnecessary criticism should efforts to designate ANWR as wilderness be successful. I know that is not a prime concern of yours or the Governor's but I mention it because, after all, you are smack in the middle of a political system and I think this administration is doing a great job thus far.

I could offer lots more but reading this will take enough of your time. Let me know what you think.

Thank you for your time and consideration.

My best to Sandy and the girls.

Warm regards,

Mike Navarre

(907)394-2300

Unknown

From: gov.sarah@yahoo.com
Sent: Thursday, November 29, 2007 3:12 PM
To: Mason; Janice L (GOV)
Subject: Monday

9am I have an appt. Just fyi for sched.

Sent from my BlackBerry® device from Cellular One

Unknown

From: gov.sarah@yahoo.com
Sent: Thursday, November 29, 2007 3:06 PM
To: Mason; Janice L (GOV); Perry; Kristina Y (GOV)
Subject: Re: Schedule for Monday, December 3, 2007

Looks fine- if my monday noon appt goes long I don't want Karleen mad about me being late. How long are they expecting me to speak to them, and to say what?

Sent from my BlackBerry® device from Cellular One

-----Original Message-----

From: "Mason, Janice L (GOV)" <janice.mason@alaska.gov>

Date: Thu, 29 Nov 2007 13:46:13

To:Sarah Palin <gov.sarah@yahoo.com>

Cc:"Perry, Kristina Y (GOV)" <kris.perry@alaska.gov>

Subject: Schedule for Monday, December 3, 2007

Governor - This is your schedule for Monday, December 3, 2007. If you approve I will contact Bella to let her know that you can see her at 3:15 - 3:45 p.m. I will let Todd know also as you had mentioned that if he was in he would like to join the meeting. Thanks, Janice

10:30 - 10:45 a.m. - GOV Interview (telephone) w/FBKS Daily Newsminer re: First year in office

11:00 - 11:15 a.m. - GOV Meet w/Rep. Dahlstrom and Rep. Crawford re: Gambling

11:30 a.m. - 1:30 p.m. - DO NOT SCHEDULE

1:30 - 2:00 p.m. - GOV Speech - "Thank you" Health Care Council Final Meeting

2:30 - 3:00 p.m. - GOV Meet w/Comm. Campbell, Mayor Whitaker, Jim Dodson, Chris Storhok, Andrew Lundquist re: Military in AK

3:15 - 3:45 p.m. - GOV Meet w/Bella Hammond

Unknown

From: gov.sarah@yahoo.com
Sent: Thursday, November 29, 2007 10:42 AM
To: Rutherford; Marty K (DNR); Irwin; Tom E (DNR); Galvin; Patrick S (DOR); Tibbles; Michael A (GOV); Balash; Joseph R (GOV)
Subject: Re: Outreach to House Speaker Harris

Thank you Marty.

Sent from my BlackBerry® device from Cellular One

-----Original Message-----

From: "Rutherford, Marty K (DNR)" <marty.rutherford@alaska.gov>

Date: Thu, 29 Nov 2007 10:22:22

To:gov.sarah@yahoo.com, tom.irwin@alaska.gov, patrick.galvin@alaska.gov,mike.tibbles@alaska.gov, joe.balash@alaska.gov

Subject: Outreach to House Speaker Harris

Governor, All,

I want to let you know that I just spoke with Speaker Harris to ensure he could say he'd been in touch with the Administration prior to tomorrows AGIA application deadline, and to ensure he was aware of what would and would not occur tomorrow. He was very appreciative and advised he was committed to working with us as we progress through the AGIA process.

Marty

Unknown

From: PARNELL, S (GOV sponsored) [/O=SOA/OU=FIRST ADMINISTRATIVE GROUP/CN=RECIPIENTS/CN=SRPARNELL1]
Sent: Thursday, November 29, 2007 6:36 AM
To: 'gov.sarah@yahoo.com'
Subject: Re: Good news rollout

Done. Thanks. I forwarded emails to Sharon and offered up my office to help if needed--asked her to call Jay if any support needed. Sean

----- Original Message -----

From: gov.sarah@yahoo.com <gov.sarah@yahoo.com>

To: PARNELL, S (GOV sponsored)

Sent: Thu Nov 29 05:56:01 2007

Subject: Re: Good news rollout

These are great ideas... I don't know if our Comm folks have thought of Privileged or Person - they're pretty overloaded with budget and tomorrow's AGIA. If you want to suggest any of this to sharon, I know she'd listen and consider it. The next couple of weeks got packed real quickly and I won't have time to work with them on this today or tomorrow- feel free to give specific input on the idea to her (and Beth) though. Thanks

Sent from my BlackBerry® device from Cellular One

-----Original Message-----

From: "PARNELL, S (GOV sponsored)" <sr.parnell@alaska.gov>

Date: Thu, 29 Nov 2007 04:38:42

To:gov.sarah@yahoo.com

Subject: Good news rollout

Privileged or Personal Material Redacted

Privileged or Personal Material Redacted

As an aside, if you're going to do a character/ethics rollout, the middle school in Kenai is the place as they embarked on and continue their character schools initiative in that district with a lot of enthusiasm and success.

Sean

Unknown

From: Mills, Andy J (GOV) [/O=SOA/OU=FIRST ADMINISTRATIVE GROUP/CN=RECIPIENTS/CN=AJMILLS]

Sent: Thursday, November 29, 2007 12:37 PM

To: Keopple; Matthew T (DOT)

Cc: Von Scheben; Leo (DOT); Palin; Sarah H (GOV); Leschper; Beth (GOV)

Subject: RE: Voices Across Alaska: State Budget Priorities

Thank you again for input.
Regards-
Andy Mills
Office of Governor Sarah Palin
Webmaster

From: Keopple, Matthew T (DOT)
Sent: Thursday, November 29, 2007 12:01 PM
To: Mills, Andy J (GOV)
Cc: Von Scheben, Leo (DOT); Leschper, Beth (GOV); Palin, Sarah H (GOV)
Subject: RE: Voices Across Alaska: State Budget Priorities

Andy,

The way this is setup is not very intuitive. I am a Network Specialist and the SE Region Web Manager and this was not very intuitive to me and others had the same issue I experienced. The prominent blue banner links as they are will not work with the American with Disabilities Act(ADA) requirements because the tags on the banner only show Voices Across Alaska: State Budget Priorities and not click here to go to the survey, blind people will not be able to read the text in the image of the banner directing them that this is a link to the survey... This is why in the Statewide Web Functional Work Group it was previously decided that links shouldn't be in banners unless the alt tags (mouse over message) in the banner specifies what it links to and as a rule banner links should be avoided if possible. I know it makes our sites not as flashy to the eye but it makes it easier to navigate especially for those that may be disabled.

Also, the notification that was sent to all State Employees shows links that most people would expect to go to the survey which don't. I can understand your reason behind doing it this way soft linking as you call it, but there are better ways of meeting your needs that are more intuitive to the common user, which I will point out below. If it isn't intuitive to me I can see many other people that it would not be intuitive for as well.

The links provided in the Statewide employee email notification didn't go to the news release instead it went to either Governor Palins online office page which has a banner link to the survey or to the main State of Alaska page which also has a banner with a link which was not intuitive because these banners look very similar to the banner in the notification that was sent out that links to nothing. Most people naturally look for a web link not banner links to the survey and the only link that looks like it may go to the survey is **Gov. Invites Public Input on Budget** which goes right back to the news release.

It would make more sense to have the link inside the news release that goes directly to the survey or if you want the ability to update the link you could setup a redirect link on the State Web Server that redirects to the survey, this way you don't have to change the link just change the server redirect. This would allow for the redirect link to be sent out in the notification that would navigate the user directly to the survey and would still meet your needs of being able to update without resending the notification. Most users wouldn't be aware they are clicking a redirect link and not a hard link.

I have done this for many of the SE Region DOT project websites because people bookmark old websites and we need to redirect them to the current one without having to send a notification out, or another press release to the

public. This is done by setting all the old web pages with redirects that point to the new web pages and it also gives the ability to setup a timed notification to the user that the web page has been updated and they should update their saved links. This is seemless and beneficial to the public, you may want to consider using this method in the future instead of putting the links inside other links which can lead to confusion. This also avoids users from having dead links to sites that may have been updated to a new location.

We must understand as Web Managers that we need to setup our sites for people that may not be that familiar with the internet yet and also meet the ADA requirements. The websites that I have setup have been setup so they are intuitive to people that are not that proficient with navigating through web sites. The less confusion to the common citizen the more useful the sites will be for them. Studies have been done that show people lose interest or get confused or lost if they have to click too many links to get to the information they are looking for. Some things to consider. Thanks for the response.

Matt Keopple
Microcomputer/Network Specialist
AKDOT SE Region Web Manager
(907)465-4595

From: Mills, Andy J (GOV)
Sent: Thursday, November 29, 2007 10:27 AM
To: Keopple, Matthew T (DOT)
Cc: Von Scheben, Leo (DOT); Leschper, Beth (GOV); Palin, Sarah H (GOV)
Subject: RE: Voices Across Alaska: State Budget Priorities

Mr. Keopple-
Thank you for the email.
You are correct that the two links on the notification below go to the State and Governor's websites.
That is because both have prominent blue banners that link to the survey. And there is a reason for this.

The way the survey is setup, we can change the link to the survey and not have to send out a new notification, just adjust the links on the State and Governor's pages (we call it "soft linking"...instead of sending a "hard link" that cannot be adjusted at a later date).

If you'd like a direct link to survey, it can be found at:
http://www.surveymonkey.com/s.aspx?sm=SdLawkgxb2H6o7oAkmv2ZA_3d_3d

(Please note this link may change before the survey is finished)

If there is anything else I can provide you, please feel free to let me know.

Regards-
Andy Mills
Office of Governor Sarah Palin
Webmaster

From: Keopple, Matthew T (DOT)
Sent: Wednesday, November 28, 2007 3:22 PM
To: Palin, Sarah H (GOV)
Cc: Von Scheben, Leo (DOT)
Subject: RE: Voices Across Alaska: State Budget Priorities

Governor Palin,

You may want to have your staff check the link to the survey since it only points right back to the main State of Alaska page. There is no link to any survey on the main State of Alaska page only a link to the news

release. The link on the news release does exactly what the one below does which is point back to the main State of Alaska page, so nobody will be able to participate in this survey until this is fixed. Thanks.

Matt Keopple
Microcomputer/Network Specialist
AKDOT SE Region Web Manager
(907)465-4595

From: Commissioner, DOT (DOT sponsored)
Sent: Wednesday, November 28, 2007 9:30 AM
To: DOT All Staff
Subject: FW: Voices Across Alaska: State Budget Priorities

Attached is the Governor's Press release on the State's Budget Priorities Survey. I know that you all have received a copy of this. I want to encourage you to take a couple of minutes and complete the survey. It is an opportunity for each and every Alaskan to participate in setting the state's priorities.

Leo

From: Governor Sarah Palin (GOV sponsored)
Sent: Wednesday, November 21, 2007 3:48 PM
To: SOA All employees
Subject: Voices Across Alaska: State Budget Priorities

[x] Right-click here to download pictures. To help protect your privacy, Outlook prevented automatic download of this picture from the Internet.

Good afternoon! I am inviting all Alaskans to become involved in the state budget process by participating in a web survey.

Voices Across Alaska: State Budget Priorities is an opportunity for all Alaskans to provide your opinion on how the state's projected budget surplus should be saved and invested.

We all know oil prices will not remain at record levels forever, and planning ahead will help ensure that we really are kicking off a new era of tax stability. Under ACES, surplus revenue of approximately $1.6 billion could be realized this year.

I hope you will take advantage of this opportunity to become part of the process.

We will use your input to complete the FY2009 budget plan, which will be released to the public and the Legislature for consideration in mid-December.

Surveys will be accepted through 5 p.m. on December 3, 2007.

The survey can be accessed at www.alaska.gov or www.gov.state.ak.us.

Unknown

From: Mills, Andy J (GOV) [/O=SOA/OU=FIRST ADMINISTRATIVE GROUP/CN=RECIPIENTS/CN=AJMILLS]
Sent: Thursday, November 29, 2007 10:27 AM
To: Keopple; Matthew T (DOT)
Cc: Von Scheben; Leo (DOT); Leschper; Beth (GOV); Palin; Sarah H (GOV)
Subject: RE: Voices Across Alaska: State Budget Priorities

Mr. Keopple-
Thank you for the email.
You are correct that the two links on the notification below go to the State and Governor's websites.
That is because both have prominent blue banners that link to the survey. And there is a reason for this.

The way the survey is setup, we can change the link to the survey and not have to send out a new notification, just adjust the links on the State and Governor's pages (we call it "soft linking"...instead of sending a "hard link" that cannot be adjusted at a later date).

If you'd like a direct link to survey, it can be found at:
http://www.surveymonkey.com/s.aspx?sm=SdLawkgxb2H6o7oAkmv2ZA_3d_3d

(Please note this link may change before the survey is finished)

If there is anything else I can provide you, please feel free to let me know.

Regards-
Andy Mills
Office of Governor Sarah Palin
Webmaster

From: Keopple, Matthew T (DOT)
Sent: Wednesday, November 28, 2007 3:22 PM
To: Palin, Sarah H (GOV)
Cc: Von Scheben, Leo (DOT)
Subject: RE: Voices Across Alaska: State Budget Priorities

Governor Palin,

You may want to have your staff check the link to the survey since it only points right back to the main State of Alaska page. There is no link to any survey on the main State of Alaska page only a link to the news release. The link on the news release does exactly what the one below does which is point back to the main State of Alaska page, so nobody will be able to participate in this survey until this is fixed. Thanks.

Matt Keopple
Microcomputer/Network Specialist
AKDOT SE Region Web Manager
(907)465-4595

From: Commissioner, DOT (DOT sponsored)
Sent: Wednesday, November 28, 2007 9:30 AM
To: DOT All Staff

Subject: FW: Voices Across Alaska: State Budget Priorities

Attached is the Governor's Press release on the State's Budget Priorities Survey. I know that you all have received a copy of this. I want to encourage you to take a couple of minutes and complete the survey. It is an opportunity for each and every Alaskan to participate in setting the state's priorities.

Leo

From: Governor Sarah Palin (GOV sponsored)
Sent: Wednesday, November 21, 2007 3:48 PM
To: SOA All employees
Subject: Voices Across Alaska: State Budget Priorities

Right-click here to download pictures. To help protect your privacy, Outlook prevented automatic download of this picture from the Internet.

Good afternoon! I am inviting all Alaskans to become involved in the state budget process by participating in a web survey.

Voices Across Alaska: State Budget Priorities is an opportunity for all Alaskans to provide your opinion on how the state's projected budget surplus should be saved and invested.

We all know oil prices will not remain at record levels forever, and planning ahead will help ensure that we really are kicking off a new era of tax stability. Under ACES, surplus revenue of approximately $1.6 billion could be realized this year.

I hope you will take advantage of this opportunity to become part of the process.

We will use your input to complete the FY2009 budget plan, which will be released to the public and the Legislature for consideration in mid-December.

Surveys will be accepted through 5 p.m. on December 3, 2007.

The survey can be accessed at www.alaska.gov or www.gov.state.ak.us.

Unknown

From: Rutherford, Marty K (DNR) [/O=SOA/OU=FIRST ADMINISTRATIVE GROUP/CN=RECIPIENTS/CN=MKRUTHERFORD]

Sent: Thursday, November 29, 2007 1:35 PM

To: gov.sarah@yahoo.com

Subject: FW: BG Letter to Governor Palin

Governor,
David Keane of BG advised us that BG will not be participating in the AGIA application process, although they continue to believe that AGIA is the appropriate process to pursue in order to develop an Alaska Gas Pipeline project. David has just provided me a personal letter from Martin Houston to you and requested I forward it to you. That letter is attached. A hard copy is also being delivered to your office today.

BG has offered to provide the SOA Gasline Team the detail of their AGIA' financial analysis and I have accepted that offer. That meeting will occur on December 12th here in the DNR offices. While BG will not leave any documents with us, the presentation will provide insight on, among other things, their price model, project design, project costs and market.

The Gasline Team is very disappointed with BG's decision, but we remain convinced other significant applicants will participate tomorrow. As you are well aware, BG has been a bit of a long-shot for some time now.
Thanks,
Marty

From: Keane, David [mailto:David.Keane@bg-group.com]
Sent: Thursday, November 29, 2007 11:46 AM
To: Rutherford, Marty K (DNR); Tom Erwin (tom.erwin@dnr.state.ak.us); Balash, Joseph R (GOV)
Subject: BG Letter to Governor Palin

Dear Marty,

Please find attached a personal letter from Martin to the Governor. If possible would you please forward this to her. I have also asked Matt Gill to deliver the letter to the Governor's office. I look forward to visiting with you on the 12th.

Kind regards,
David

<<Gov Palin 11 29.pdf>>

BG Energy Holdings Limited
Registered in England & Wales No: 3763515
Registered address:
100 Thames Valley Park Drive
Reading, Berkshire RG6 1PT UK
Telephone: +44 (0)118 935 3222
Website: http://www.BG-Group.com